

# Contents

Summary	vii
Samenvatting	ix
Resumen	xi
Acknowledgements	xv
Contents	xvii
List of Figures	xxi
List of Tables	xxv
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background . . . . .	1
1.2 Scope of the thesis . . . . .	4
1.3 Outline of the thesis . . . . .	7
<b>2 Regional debris flow susceptibility analysis in mountainous peri-urban areas through morphometric and land cover indicators</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	9
2.2 Methods and Data . . . . .	11
2.2.1 Study Area . . . . .	11
2.2.2 Methodology . . . . .	14
2.2.2.1 Development of the morphometric indicator . . . . .	16
2.2.2.2 Development of the land cover indicator . . . . .	21
2.2.2.3 Development of a composite susceptibility index . . . . .	22
2.3 Results . . . . .	22
2.3.1 Estimation of the morphometric indicator for the study area . . . . .	23
2.3.1.1 Morphometric indicator model . . . . .	23
2.3.1.2 Assessment of appropriateness of the morphometric indicator .	25
2.3.2 Land cover indicator . . . . .	30
2.3.3 Combination of indicators to obtain a final susceptibility index . . . . .	31

2.4	Discussion . . . . .	32
2.4.1	Morphometric indicator . . . . .	32
2.4.2	Debris flow propagation . . . . .	37
2.4.3	Land cover indicator, composite susceptibility index and comparison of results . . . . .	38
2.5	Conclusions . . . . .	39
<b>3</b>	<b>Regional prioritisation of flood risk in mountainous areas</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2	Conceptualization of Vulnerability . . . . .	44
3.3	Methods and Data . . . . .	46
3.3.1	Study Area . . . . .	46
3.3.2	Methodology . . . . .	48
3.3.2.1	Delineation of exposure areas . . . . .	49
3.3.2.2	Choice of indicators and principal component analysis for vulnerability assessment . . . . .	50
3.3.2.3	Sensitivity of the vulnerability indicator . . . . .	53
3.3.2.4	Categories of recorded damage in the study area . . . . .	54
3.3.2.5	Prioritization of watersheds . . . . .	55
3.4	Results . . . . .	56
3.4.1	Exposure Areas . . . . .	56
3.4.2	Socio-economic fragility indicators . . . . .	58
3.4.3	Lack of Resilience and coping capacity indicators . . . . .	59
3.4.4	Physical exposure indicators . . . . .	61
3.4.5	Vulnerability indicator . . . . .	62
3.4.6	Prioritization of watersheds according to the qualitative risk indicator and comparison with damage records . . . . .	63
3.4.7	Sensitivity analysis of the vulnerability indicator . . . . .	64
3.5	Discussion . . . . .	65
3.5.1	Exposure areas . . . . .	65
3.5.2	Representativeness and relative importance of indicators . . . . .	67
3.5.3	Sensitivity of the vulnerability indicator . . . . .	68
3.5.4	Usefulness of the prioritization indicator . . . . .	69
3.6	Conclusions . . . . .	70
<b>4</b>	<b>Spatial interpolation for real-time rainfall field estimation in areas with complex topography</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
4.2	Methods and Data . . . . .	73
4.2.1	Study Area . . . . .	73
4.2.2	Precipitation data . . . . .	75
4.2.3	Geostatistical interpolation procedure . . . . .	76
4.2.3.1	Interpolation techniques . . . . .	77
4.2.3.2	Topographic parameters as secondary variables . . . . .	79
4.2.3.3	Cross validation and statistical criteria of comparison . . . . .	80

4.2.3.4	Conditional Simulations . . . . .	81
4.3	Results . . . . .	81
4.3.1	Exploratory data analysis . . . . .	81
4.3.2	Classification of daily datasets . . . . .	82
4.3.3	Variogram analysis . . . . .	82
4.3.4	Analysis of performance of the interpolators for the individual storms . . . . .	84
4.3.5	Analysis of performance of the interpolators using the climatological variograms and applicability of the climatological variograms for individual event rainfall field generation . . . . .	87
4.3.6	Analysis of secondary variables . . . . .	92
4.3.7	Analysis of uncertainty in estimates of storm volumes . . . . .	93
4.4	Discussion . . . . .	94
4.4.1	Characteristics of the rainfall fields . . . . .	94
4.4.2	Performance of the climatological variograms and applicability to the generation of individual event rainfall fields . . . . .	96
4.4.3	Choice between KED and OK . . . . .	97
4.4.4	Volumetric comparison . . . . .	99
4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	100
5	<b>Hydrological model assessment for flood early warning in a tropical high mountain basin</b>	102
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	102
5.2	Study Area . . . . .	104
5.3	Methods . . . . .	106
5.3.1	Modelling set up and calibration . . . . .	108
5.3.1.1	Description of the models . . . . .	108
5.3.1.2	Hydrometeorological forcing . . . . .	110
5.3.1.3	Model Configuration and Calibration . . . . .	111
5.3.2	Performance analysis and diagnostics . . . . .	115
5.3.3	Analysis of precipitation input uncertainty and comparison of models . . . . .	117
5.4	Results . . . . .	118
5.4.1	Model calibration . . . . .	118
5.4.1.1	KGE for HECHMSSMA, TOPMODEL and TETIS . . . . .	118
5.4.2	Comparison of water balance fluxes . . . . .	118
5.4.3	Signature measures from the flow duration curve (FDC) . . . . .	121
5.4.4	Rainfall ensemble analysis, input precipitation uncertainty . . . . .	121
5.4.5	Comparison of model ensembles . . . . .	123
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	124
5.5.1	Model calibration and performance . . . . .	124
5.5.1.1	Water balance fluxes and hydrometeorological forcing . . . . .	124
5.5.1.2	Pixel size and flux variation for the TOPMODEL and TETIS . . . . .	126
5.5.1.3	HECHMSSMA calibration results and fluxes . . . . .	129
5.5.1.4	Flow duration curve and signatures . . . . .	130
5.5.2	Comparison of discharge ensembles . . . . .	131

5.6 Conclusions . . . . .	131
<b>6 Streamflow forecasts from WRF precipitation for flood early warning in tropical mountain areas</b>	<b>134</b>
6.1 Introduction . . . . .	134
6.2 Methods and data . . . . .	136
6.2.1 Study Area . . . . .	136
6.2.2 WRF model data and observed rainfall fields . . . . .	138
6.2.3 Methodology . . . . .	138
6.2.3.1 Generation of Precipitation Forecasts . . . . .	139
6.2.3.2 Verification of forecasts . . . . .	142
6.3 Results . . . . .	144
6.3.1 Bias correction of precipitation forecasts through DBS . . . . .	144
6.3.2 Quantile regression model . . . . .	144
6.3.3 Verification of precipitation forecasts . . . . .	145
6.3.4 Verification of deterministic precipitation forecasts and ensemble mean .	145
6.3.5 Verification of deterministic discharge forecasts and ensemble mean .	149
6.3.6 Verification of probabilistic forecasts . . . . .	149
6.3.7 Discussion . . . . .	151
6.3.7.1 Evaluating precipitation forecasts from the WRF model . . . . .	151
6.3.7.2 Evaluating discharge forecast . . . . .	157
6.4 Conclusions . . . . .	158
<b>7 Conclusions and Recommendations</b>	<b>160</b>
7.1 Conclusions . . . . .	160
7.1.1 Regional Flood risk analysis . . . . .	161
7.1.2 Hydrometeorological inputs . . . . .	164
7.1.3 Hydrological models for flood early warning . . . . .	165
7.2 Added value of the numerical weather prediction model WRF in the flood forecasting system . . . . .	167
7.3 Recommendations . . . . .	169
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>197</b>